

Econometrics  
Homework 2  
Suggested Answer

Daiji Kawaguchi  
College of International Studies

I attached Stata output to this suggested answer. Please refer to that as you need.

**Problem 1**

Solve Problem 2.1 in page 61 of Wooldridge.

- (i) The error term  $u$  may include the wealth level of the household of the mother. The mother of wealthier household is more likely to have higher educational background.
- (ii) As discussed in the previous answer, the error term are likely to be correlated with error term. Thus the zero conditional mean assumption  $E(u|x)=0$  is presumably violated. Thus we cannot obtain an unbiased estimator. This means that we cannot estimate the ceteris paribus effect of education on fertility.

**Problem 2**

Problem 2.12 in page 65 of Wooldridge.

- (i) The result of estimation is:

$$\hat{sleep} = 3586.377 + -0.151totwrk$$

$$N = 706, R^2 = 0.103$$

The intercept in this estimation means that when an individual do not work at all, he is predicted to sleep for 3586 minutes (about 60 hours) per week.

- (ii) When  $totwrk$  increases by 2 hours (=120 minutes), sleep is estimated to fall by 18 (=0.15\*120) minutes. I perceive this as a small effect.

**Problem 3**

Solve Problem 2.13 in page 65 of Wooldridge.

- (i) The average monthly salary is about 958 dollar. The average IQ score is 101.282 and its standard deviation is 15.053.

- (ii) In this question, you are asked to set up a model in which one-point increase in IQ changes wage by a constant dollar amount. The corresponding model is the following model:

$$wage = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IQ + u.$$

The result of OLS estimation is as following:

$$\hat{wage} = 116.992 + 8.303IQ$$

$$N = 935, R^2 = 0.096$$

When IQ increases by 15 points, the wage is predicted to increase by 124.545 dollar. Because  $R^2$  is 0.096, only 9.6 percent of the total variation of monthly wage is explained by the variation in IQ. The variation of IQ does not explain most of the variation in wage.

(iii) To set up a model in which each one-point increase in IQ has the same percentage effect on wage, you have to take natural log for the dependent variable. The resulting model is:

$$\log(\text{wage}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IQ + u.$$

The estimated result is following.

$$\log(\hat{\text{wage}}) = 5.887 + 0.009IQ$$

$$N = 935, R^2 = 0.099$$

When IQ increases by 15 points, the wage is predicted to increase by 13.5 (=15\*0.009) percent.

```

log: c:\stataproject\class\econometrics\hw2\hw2.log
log type: text
opened on: 6 Oct 2003, 12:42:20

```

```

.*Problem 2;
.use C:\stataproject\class\econometrics\hw2\sleep75.dta;

```

```

.reg sleep totwrk;

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	706
Model	14381717.2	1	14381717.2	F( 1, 704) =	81.09
Residual	124858119	704	177355.282	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.1033
				Adj R-squared =	0.1020
Total	139239836	705	197503.313	Root MSE =	421.14

sleep	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
totwrk	-.1507458	.0167403	-9.00	0.000	-.1836126 -.117879
_cons	3586.377	38.91243	92.17	0.000	3509.979 3662.775

```

.*Problem 3;
.clear;

```

```

.use c:\stataproject\class\econometrics\hw2\wage2.dta;

```

```

.*(i);
.sum wage IQ;

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
wage	935	957.9455	404.3608	115	3078
IQ	935	101.2824	15.05264	50	145

```

.*(ii);
.reg wage IQ;

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	935
Model	14589782.6	1	14589782.6	F( 1, 933) =	98.55
Residual	138126386	933	148045.429	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.0955
				Adj R-squared =	0.0946
Total	152716168	934	163507.675	Root MSE =	384.77

wage	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
IQ	8.303064	.8363951	9.93	0.000	6.661631 9.944498
_cons	116.9916	85.64153	1.37	0.172	-51.08078 285.0639

```

.*(iii);
.reg lwage IQ;

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	935
Model	16.4150939	1	16.4150939	F( 1, 933) =	102.62
Residual	149.241189	933	.159958402	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.0991
				Adj R-squared =	0.0981
Total	165.656283	934	.177362188	Root MSE =	.39995

lwage	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
IQ	.0088072	.0008694	10.13	0.000	.007101 .0105134
_cons	5.886994	.0890206	66.13	0.000	5.712291 6.061698