

Homework 7
Oka Tatsushi ¹

Problem 11.1

a

Merits of including $y_{i,t-1}$ in the model

The merits of including $y_{i,t-1}$ are two holds. First is to allow for state dependency; current state may depend on last period's state. Secondly the past level of unemployment may well work as a proxy variable to capture unobserved characteristics of a city.

Assumption for pooled OLS

$$\tilde{x}_{it} = [z_i, x_{it}, y_{it}, prog_{it}]$$

Assumption 1: $E[\tilde{x}'_{it}u_{it}]$ (orthogonality)

Assumption 2: $E[\tilde{x}'_{it}\tilde{x}_{it}]$ is nonsingular.

b

Considering the equation with AR(1) serial correlations,

$$y_{it} = \theta_t + z_i\gamma_t + x_{it}\beta + \rho_1 y_{it-1} + \delta_1 prog_{it} + u_{it}$$

$$u_{it} = \zeta u_{it-1} + v_{it}$$

Then,

$$E[y_{it-1}u_{it}] = \zeta E[u_{it-1}^2].$$

As this shows, the orthogonality condition is violated, and thus pooled OLS estimators are inconsistent by the existence of u_{it} 's serial correlation

However, the fact doesn't necessarily mean that the model is of limited value. The reason for this is that using IV renders consistent estimators of this model.

c

$$y_{it} = \theta_t + z_i\gamma + x_{it}\beta + \delta_1 prog_{it} + c_i + u_{it}$$

Under the strict exogeneity assumption, $E[u_{it}|Z_i, X_i, prog_{it}] = 0$, the causal effect of training program on unemployment rate is consistently estimated.

¹E-mail: cg042ot@srv.econ.osaka-u.ac.jp; Corrections were made by Daiji Kawaguchi on Dec. 12, 2002

d

The model would be

$$y_{it} = \theta_t + z_i\gamma_t + x_{it}\beta + \rho_1 y_{it-1} + \delta_1 \text{prog}_{it} + c_i + u_{it}$$

Under the sequential exogeneity assumption, $E[u_{it}|x_{it}, \dots, x_{i1}, c_i]$, we can consistently estimate the model.

Firstly, taking first difference results in

$$\Delta y_{it} = \Delta \theta_t + \Delta x_{it}\beta + \rho_1 \Delta y_{it-1} + \delta_1 \Delta \text{prog}_{it} + \Delta u_{it},$$

and estimate the model by 2SLS or GMM using lagged y_{it} as instruments for y_{it-1} .

Problem 11.3

$$y_{it} = \beta x_{it} + c_i + u_{it}$$

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \beta \ddot{x}_{it} + \ddot{u}_{it}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{FE} = \frac{\sum \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it}}{\sum \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}}$$

$$\text{plim } \hat{\beta}_{FE} = \frac{E(\ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it})}{E(\ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it})} = \frac{E((\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it}) \ddot{y}_{it})}{E((\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it})(\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it}))}$$

$$= \frac{E(\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it})(\ddot{x}_{it}^* \beta + \ddot{u}_{it})}{E(\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it})(\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it})}$$

$$E[\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it}]^2 = E[\ddot{x}_{it}^*]^2 + 2E[\ddot{x}_{it}^* \ddot{r}_{it}] + E[\ddot{r}_{it}]^2 = \text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*) + \text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it})$$

$$E(\ddot{x}_{it}^* + \ddot{r}_{it})(\ddot{x}_{it}^* \beta + \ddot{u}_{it}) = \beta \text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{plim } \hat{\beta}_{FE} &= \frac{\beta \text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*)}{\text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*) + \text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it})} = \beta \frac{\text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*) + \text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it}) - \text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it})}{\text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*) + \text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it})} \\ &= \beta \left(1 - \frac{\text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it})}{\text{var}(\ddot{x}_{it}^*) + \text{var}(\ddot{r}_{it})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 11.4

a

$$y_{it} = \beta x_{it} + c_i + u_{it}$$

$$c_i = y_{it} - \beta x_{it} - u_{it}$$

$$\mu_c = Ec_i = Ey_{it} - \beta Ex_{it} - Eu_{it} = Ey_{it} - \beta Ex_{it}$$

By replacing population means and β with $\hat{\beta}_{FE}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_c &= N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_{it} - \hat{\beta}_{FE} x_{it}) \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\bar{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{FE} \bar{x}_i).\end{aligned}$$

b

$$y_{it} = \beta x_{it} + g_i t + c_i + u_{it}$$

First difference transformation gives

$$\Delta y_{it} = \beta \Delta x_{it} + g_i + \Delta u_{it}$$

$$\mu_g = Eg_i = E\Delta y_{it} - \beta E\Delta x_{it} - E\Delta u_{it}$$

$$= E\Delta y_{it} - \beta E\Delta x_{it}$$

In the manner of Part A,

$$\hat{\mu}_g = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\Delta \tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{FE} \Delta \tilde{x}_i)$$

Problem 11.16

a

It is possible to use FE estimation or FD estimation in order to estimate β , since the necessary assumptions for a consistent estimation, a strictly exogeneity and a standard rank assumption, are guaranteed. Estimation by random effects, on the other hand, requires additional assumption; an unobserved heterogeneity and regressors should not be correlated.

b

$$y_{it} = \gamma z_i + x_{it}\beta + c_i + u_{it}$$

$$E(y_{it}|z_i, x_i, w_i) = \gamma z_i + x_{it}\beta + E(c_i|z_i, x_i, w_i) + E(u_{it}|z_i, x_i, w_i) \text{ but since}$$

$$E(c_i|z_i, x_i, w_i) = \delta_0 + \delta_1 w_i + \bar{x}_i \delta_2$$

and

$$E(u_{it}|z_i, x_i, w_i) = 0,$$

$$E(y_{it}|z_i, x_i, w_i) = \gamma z_i + x_{it}\beta + \delta_0 + \delta_1 w_i + \bar{x}_i \delta_2$$

c

The result of part b implies that γ is identified by a pooled OLS estimation. The estimation requires two assumptions for consistency. As mentioned at problem 11.1, one of which is an orthogonality condition, the other is nonsingularity of the regressors's second moment.

d

As going along with hint, the variance of error term is

$$j'j\sigma_a^2 + I\sigma_u^2$$

This error structure implies GLS random effects estimation renders efficient estimators.

Problem 11.17

$$\hat{a} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' (y_i - X_i \hat{\beta}_{FE})$$

$$\hat{a} - a = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' (y_i - X_i \hat{\beta}_{FE}) - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' (y_i - X_i \beta)] \text{ but,}$$

$$E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' (y_i - X_i \beta)] = E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' y_i] - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] \beta$$

$$= E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' y_i] - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] (\beta - \hat{\beta}_{FE} + \hat{\beta}_{FE})$$

$$= (E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' y_i] - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] \hat{\beta}_{FE}) + E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] (\hat{\beta}_{FE} - \beta)$$

$$\{ \text{using } (\hat{\beta}_{FE} - \beta) = [N^{-1} \sum \ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{X}_{it}]^{-1} N^{-1} \sum \ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{u}_{it} + o_p(2), \}$$

$$= (E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' y_i] - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] \hat{\beta}_{FE})$$

$$+ E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] (N^{-1} \sum \ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{X}_{it})^{-1} N^{-1} \sum \ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{u}_{it} + o_p(2)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a} - a &= N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' (y_i - X_i \hat{\beta}_{FE}) - (E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' y_i] - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] \hat{\beta}_{FE}) \\ &\quad - E[(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] E(\ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{X}_{it})^{-1} N^{-1} \sum \ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{u}_{it} + o_p(2)\end{aligned}$$

By replacing population moments with sample moments and neglecting $o_p(2)$ term, $\widehat{\hat{a}} - a = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \{(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' (y_i - X_i \hat{\beta}_{FE})$

$$\begin{aligned}& - (N^{-1} \sum [(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' y_i] - N^{-1} \sum [(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] \hat{\beta}_{FE}) \\ & - N^{-1} \sum [(z_i' z_i)^{-1} z_i' X_i] N^{-1} \sum (\ddot{X}_{it}' \ddot{X}_{it})^{-1} \ddot{X}_{it}' \hat{u}_{it} \} \\ & = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \{(\hat{s}_i - \hat{a}) - \hat{C} \hat{A}^{-1} \ddot{X}_i' \hat{u}_i\}\end{aligned}$$

Because $\sqrt{N}(\hat{a} - a) \sim N[0, \text{avar}((\hat{a}_i - a_i))]$,

$$\widehat{\text{avar}}(\sqrt{N}(\hat{a} - a)) = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N [(\hat{s}_i - \hat{a}) - \hat{C} \hat{A}^{-1} \ddot{X}_i' \hat{u}_i][(\hat{s}_i - \hat{a}) - \hat{C} \hat{A}^{-1} \ddot{X}_i' \hat{u}_i]'$$